arXiv:1301.4888v2 [math.NT] 31 Jan 2013

Notes on q-analogues of a binomial congruence of Glaisher

Bo Ning*

Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Science,
Northwestern Polytechnical University,Xi'an, Shaanxi 710072, P.R. China

Abstract

Andrews once gave q-analogues of a binomial congruence of Glaisher, and he suggested perfect q-analogues. In this short note we give ones meeting the demand of Andrews.

Keywords: q-analogue; binomial coefficient; binomial congruence; Glaisher's congruence

AMS Subject Classification (2000): Primary 05A10; Secondary 11A07, 11B65.

An interesting result of Glaisher [3] asserted that if $p \geq 5$ is a prime and $m \geq 1$ is an integer, then

$$\binom{mp+p-1}{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^3}. \tag{1}$$

Obviously, his result can be written as:

$$(mp+1)(mp+2)\cdots(mp+p-1) \equiv (p-1)! \pmod{p^3}. \tag{2}$$

As shown in [2], we define the *q-number* by

$$[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} \ (q \neq 1), \tag{3}$$

the *q-factorial* by

$$[n]!_q = [n]_q[n-1]_q \cdots [1]_q, \tag{4}$$

the *q-binomial coefficient* by

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = \frac{[n]!_q}{[k]!_q[n-k]!_q}, \tag{5}$$

---

*E-mail address: ningbo_math84@mail.nwpu.edu.cn (B. Ning)

and the *q-shifted factorial* by

$$(A;q)_n = (1-A)(1-Aq)\cdots(1-Aq^{n-1}). \tag{6}$$

In view of q-analogues of Glaisher's congruence, Andrews [1] showed that if p is an odd prime and $m \geq 1$ be an integer, then

$$\binom{(m+1)p-1}{p-1}_q \equiv q^{mp(p-1)/2} \pmod{[p]_q^2}. \tag{7}$$

The congruence (7) can be considered as a q-analogue of (1). Furthermore, Andrews [1] suggested perfect analogues of (1) and (2), that is, q-congruences modulo $[p]_q^3$.

The main purpose of this short note is to extend Andrews' congruence (7) as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime and $m \geq 1$ be an integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\binom{(m+1)p-1}{p-1}_q\\ &\equiv q^{mp(p-1)/2} - \left(\frac{m^2(p^2-1)}{8} + \frac{m(p-1)(7p-5)}{24}\right)(1-q)^2[p]_q^2 \pmod{[p]_q^3}.\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Lemma 1. *Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime and $m \geq 1$ be an integer. Then*

$$\binom{(m+1)p}{p}_q \equiv \binom{m+1}{1}_{q^{p^2}} - \binom{m+1}{2}\frac{p^2-1}{12}(1-q)^2[p]_q^2 \pmod{[p]_q^3}. \tag{9}$$

Proof. See Lemma 4 in [4] or Theorem 1 in [5]. ☐

Lemma 2. *Let p be an odd prime and $s \geq 1$ be an integer. Then*

$$q^{pi} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{s-1}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^k[p]_q^k(1-q)^k \pmod{[p]_q^s}. \tag{10}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}q^{pi} &= (1-(1-q^p))^i = \sum_{k=0}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^k(1-q^p)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{i}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^k[p]_q^k(1-q)^k\\ &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{s-1}\binom{i}{k}(-1)^k[p]_q^k(1-q)^k \pmod{[p]_q^s}.\end{aligned}$$

☐

If we put $s=3$, then by Lemma 2, we have

$$q^{pi} \equiv 1 - i(1-q)[p]_q + \frac{i(i-1)}{2}(1-q)^2[p]_q^2 \pmod{[p]_q^3}. \tag{11}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\binom{(m+1)p-1}{p-1}_q \\
&= \frac{[p]_q}{[(m+1)p]_q}\binom{(m+1)p}{p}_q \\
&\equiv \frac{1-q^p}{1-q^{(m+1)p}}\Big(\frac{1-q^{(m+1)p^2}}{1-q^{p^2}} - \binom{m+1}{2}\frac{p^2-1}{12}(1-q)^2[p]_q^2\Big) \pmod{[p]_q^3} \qquad (by\ (9)) \\
&\equiv \frac{(1-q)[p]_q}{(m+1)(1-q)[p]_q - \frac{(m+1)m}{2}(1-q)^2[p]_q^2} \cdot \Big(\frac{(m+1)p(1-q)[p]_q - \frac{(m+1)p(mp+p-1)}{2}(1-q)^2[p]_q^2}{p(1-q)[p]_q - \frac{p(p-1)}{2}(1-q)^2[p]_q^2} \\
&- \frac{m(m+1)}{2}\frac{p^2-1}{12}(1-q)^2[p]_q^2\Big) \pmod{[p]_q^3} \qquad (by\ (11)) \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{1-\frac{m}{2}(1-q)[p]_q} \cdot \Big(\frac{1-\frac{mp+p-1}{2}(1-q)[p]_q}{1-\frac{p-1}{2}(1-q)[p]_q} - \frac{m(p^2-1)}{24}(1-q)^2[p]_q^2\Big) \pmod{[p]_q^3} \\
&\equiv \Big(1+\frac{m}{2}(1-q)[p]_q + \frac{m^2}{4}(1-q)^2[p]_q^2\Big) \cdot \Big(1-\frac{mp}{2}(1-q)[p]_q - \frac{m(7p^2-6p-1)}{24}(1-q)^2[p]_q^2\Big) \\
&\quad \pmod{[p]_q^3} \\
&\equiv 1 - \frac{m(p-1)}{2}(1-q)[p]_q + \Big(\frac{m^2}{4} - \frac{m^2p}{4} - \frac{m(7p^2-6p-1)}{24}\Big)(1-q)^2[p]_q^2 \pmod{[p]_q^3} \\
&\equiv q^{mp(p-1)/2} - \Big(\frac{m^2(p^2-1)}{8} + \frac{m(p-1)(7p-5)}{24}\Big)(1-q)^2[p]_q^2 \pmod{[p]_q^3}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete. □

Remark 1. In [1], Andrews also gave a q-analogue of (2). He proved that if p is an odd prime and $m \geq 1$, then

$$
\frac{(q^{mp+1};q)_{p-1} - q^{mp(p-1)/2}(q;q)_{p-1}}{(1-q^{(m+1)p})(1-q^{mp})} \equiv \frac{(p^2-1)p}{24} \pmod{[p]_q}. \tag{12}
$$

In [1], Andrews once wrote the following words: ”the empirical studies I made which led to Theorem 1 do not yield any instances of congruences modulo $[p]_q^3$”. However, his studies surely can imply a q-analogue of congruence (2) modulo $[p]_q^3$. Noting that by Lemma 2, we have $1-q^{(m+1)p} \equiv (m+1)(1-q)[p]_q \pmod{[p]_q^2}$ and $1-q^{mp} \equiv m(1-q)[p]_q \pmod{[p]_q^2}$. And Andrews' congruence (12) implies

Theorem 2.

$$
(q^{mp+1};q)_{p-1} - q^{mp(p-1)/2}(q;q)_{p-1} \equiv \frac{m(m+1)(p^2-1)p}{24}(1-q)^2[p]_q^2 \pmod{[p]_q^3}. \tag{13}
$$

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the Doctorate Foundation of Northwestern Polytechnical University (cx201326).

References

[1] G.E. Andrews, q-analogs of the binomial coefficient congruences of Babbage, Wolstenholme and Glaisher, *Discrete Math.* **204** (1999) 15-25.

[2] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1990).

[3] J.W.L. Glaisher, Residues of binomial theorem coefficients with respect to p^3, *Quart. J. Math., Oxford Series* **31** (1900) 110-124.

[4] B. Ning, An inductive proof of Straub's q-analogue of Ljunggren's congruence, arXiv:1301.2986v2.

[5] A. Straub, A q-analogue of Ljunggren's binomial congrunence, *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science (DMTCS)*, Nancy, France, proc. AO (2011) 897-902, arXiv:1103.3258v1 [math. NT].